

EVAN R. MOSES, CA Bar No. 198099
evan.moses@ogletree.com
MELIS ATALAY, CA Bar No. 301373
melis.atalay@ogletree.com
OGLETREE, DEAKINS, NASH, SMOAK &
STEWART, P.C.
400 South Hope Street, Suite 1200
Los Angeles, CA 90071
Telephone: 213-239-9800
Facsimile: 213-239-9045

Attorneys for Defendant
HEAVENLY VALLEY LIMITED
PARTNERSHIP

JUSTIN TOOBI
justin@toobiesq.com
724 S. Spring Street, Suite 201
Los Angeles, CA 90014
Telephone: 310-435-9407

Attorney for Plaintiff
ADAM HEGGEN

Counsel caption continues on following page

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Adam Heggen, an individual

Plaintiff,

v.

Heavenly Valley, Limited Partnership; and
Does 1-10, inclusive;

Defendants.

Case No. 2:21-cv-00107-WBS-SCR

**JOINT STATUS REPORT AND REQUEST
ORDER**

RELATED TO: 2:21-CV-01260 WBS SCR
2:21-CV-01608 WBS SCR
2:21-CV-02251 WBS SCR

Complaint Filed: October 21, 2020
Date Removed: January 21, 2021
District Judge: Hon. William B. Shubb
Courtroom 5, Sacramento
Magistrate Judge: Hon. Sean C. Riordan
Courtroom 27, Sacramento

1 JULIAN BURNS KING, Cal. Bar No. 298716

2 julian@kingsiegel.com

3 ELLIOT J. SIEGEL, Cal. Bar No. 286798

4 elliot@kingsiegel.com

5 KING & SIEGEL LLP

6 724 S. Spring Street, Suite 201

7 Los Angeles, CA 90017

8 Telephone: 213-465-4802

9 Facsimile: 213-465-4803

10 Attorneys for Plaintiff

11 ADAM HEGGEN

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

TO THE COURT, ALL PARTIES, AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD

Pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 16, 23(e), and 41(a), plaintiff Adam Heggen (“Plaintiff”) and defendant Heavenly Valley Limited Partnership (“Defendant” or “Heavenly”) (collectively “the Parties”) hereby submit this joint status report regarding the status of the case and settlement approval process in this Action and four other related cases: *Gibson v. The Vail Corporation*, Case No. 2:21-cv-01260-WBS-DB (E.D. Cal.) (“*Gibson*”); *Hamilton v. Heavenly Valley, Limited Partnership*, 2:21-cv-01608-WBS-DB (E.D. Cal.) (“*Hamilton I*”); *Hamilton v. Heavenly Valley, Limited Partnership*, SC20210148 (El Dorado County Superior Court) (“*Hamilton II*”); and *Roberds v. The Vail Corporation et al.*, Case No. 2:21-cv-02251-WBS-DB (“*Roberds*”), as follows:

WHEREAS, on December 28, 2021, the Parties executed a formal, long-form Settlement Agreement that fully and finally resolves all claims in the Action, pending court approval, as well as claims pled in related cases *Hamilton I*, *Gibson*, *Hamilton II*, and *Roberds* (“the Settlement”);

WHEREAS, after considering all final approval papers, all objections to the Settlement, and oral argument from objectors at a hearing on June 17, 2022, and the arguments of counsel and other individuals at a final approval hearing on August 19, 2022, the El Dorado County Superior Court entered an Order in the *Hamilton II* action (Case No. SC20210148) on August 19, 2022 granting Plaintiffs’ Motion for Final Approval of the Settlement (“Final Approval Order”).

WHEREAS the Final Approval Order made the following findings concerning the Settlement, which covers the claims in this Action, among others:

- The Settlement is fair, adequate and reasonable;
- The distribution of the Notice to effectuate the Settlement has been completed in conformance with the El Dorado Superior Court’s February 1, 2022 Order Granting Preliminary Approval; the El Dorado Superior Court’s March 8, 2022 Order Extending Time to Issue Notice of Class Settlement; and the El Dorado Superior Court’s Order Granting Plaintiffs’ Unopposed Ex Parte Application to Permit Dissemination of a Supplemental Text Message Notice and to Extend the Response Deadline to May 20, 2022;

- The Notice was adequate, satisfied due process requirements, and was the best notice practicable under the circumstances;
- The Settlement Agreement is binding on all Settlement Class Members who have not timely opted out.
- A Class is certified for settlement purposes only, which is defined as all non-exempt employees who at any time during the Covered Period worked for and were employed by Vail (including Defendant in this action) in the United States and worked primary at one of its resort locations or mountain facilities, with limited exclusions.
- Judgment is entered.
- El Dorado Superior Court will retain jurisdiction over the Parties to enforce the terms of the Judgment pursuant to California Rule of Court 3.769(h);

WHEREAS the Settlement provides that Plaintiffs will dismiss this Action with prejudice within 28 days after the Final Approval Order becomes a final, non-appealable order;

WHEREAS, on or around September 24, 2022, non-parties John Linn and Mark Molina filed a motion to set aside and vacate judgment in *Hamilton II* (Case No. SC20210148), which the trial court denied on November 14, 2022;

WHEREAS, on December 1, 2022, non-parties filed a notice of appeal concerning the order denying the motion to set aside and vacate judgment in *Hamilton II*;

WHEREAS, on October 10, 2024, the Court of Appeal issued an unpublished opinion that reversed the trial court's orders in on non-parties' motions to: (a) intervene II; and (b) to set aside and vacate the judgment in *Hamilton II*, Case No. SC20210148.

WHEREAS, Vail will file a petition for review with the California Supreme Court on or before November 19, 2024.

///

///

1 NOW THEREFORE, the Parties hereby jointly request that this Court continue the
2 December 4, 2024 Status Conference for a period of five (5) months, or May 2, 2025, or as soon
3 thereafter as the Court's calendar permits.

4
5 DATED: November 18, 2024

OGLETREE, DEAKINS, NASH, SMOAK &
STEWART, P.C.

6
7
8 By: /s/ Melis Atalay
Evan R. Moses
Melis Atalay

9
10 Attorneys for Defendant
HEAVENLY VALLEY LIMITED
11 PARTNERSHIP

12 DATED: November 18, 2024

KING & SIEGEL LLP

13
14
15 By: /s/ Elliot J. Siegel
Julian Burns King
Elliot J. Siegel

16 Attorneys for Plaintiff
17 ADAM HEGGEN
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ORDER


The Court having reviewed the foregoing stipulation, and GOOD CAUSE APPEARING THEREFOR, the Court orders as follows:

(1) The Parties shall file a Joint Status Report addressing the status of the Settlement and any additional information that the Court requires, on **April 21, 2025**.

(2) A Status Conference Re Class Action Settlement is set for **May 5, 2025 at 1:30 p.m.** in Courtroom 5.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 19, 2024



WILLIAM B. SHUBB
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE